

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,556	01/04/2002	Elena M. Shembel		7053-1	7138	
27305	7590 08/04/2006	,		EXAMINER		
	& HOWARD ATTORNE	DOVE, TRACY MAE				
	JRST OFFICE CENTER, S DWARD AVENUE	UITE #101	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48304-515	1		1745		
				DATE MAILED: 08/04/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)					
شد م	10/038,556 ·		SHEMBEL ET AL.						
Office A	Examiner		Art Unit						
· ·		Tracy Dove	·	1745	· 				
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication app	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. specified above, the maximum statutory period we set or extended period for reply will, by statute, no Office later than three months after the mailing astment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS (36(a). In no event, ho vill apply and will expi , cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tim re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 30 M	ay 2006.							
2a)☐ This action i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-f	inal.	, ,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the									
closed in ac	cordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle	, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	S	·							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1.8	-12,15 and 18-25 is/are pending in	the application	· 1						
				*	*				
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	-12,15 and 18-25 is/are rejected.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are objected to.								
	are subject to restriction and/or	r election requi	rement.	•	,				
Application Papers	*			•					
9)☐ The specifica	tion is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing	s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ o	bjected to by the E	Examiner.					
. Applicant may	not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement	drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if	the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or o	leclaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note tl	ne attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119		•		•				
<u> </u>	•		511000440()						
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nent is made of a claim for foreign	phority under a	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).					
· · · · · <u>—</u>	Some * c) None of:		الماسية						
	ed copies of the priority documents			N-					
	ed copies of the priority documents		•	_	04				
	s of the certified copies of the prior	=		o in this National	Stage				
	ation from the International Bureau	•	· · · ·						
See the attack	ned detailed Office action for a list	or the certified	copies not receive	d.					
		• •							
Attachment(s)		*	•	•					
1) Notice of References	Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperso	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	e, F	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	. 450)				
 Information Disclosure Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6) [Notice of Informal Page 1975. Other:	atent Application (PTC	⊢152)				

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the communication filed on 5/30/06. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive. Claims 1, 8-12, 15 and 18-25 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/30/06 has been entered.

Claims Analysis

Note the specification states lithium batteries having polymer electrolytes are generally configured as gel-type polymer electrolyte which have liquid intermixed with a selected polymer electrolyte matrix material. The polymer electrolyte functions as a separator, being interposed between the cathode and anode films of the battery (page 5, lines 15-18). Thus, the modified polymer material of the present invention functions as a separator.

Note the "polymer electrolyte" of the claimed invention is a two phase material because the claims recite a gel electrolyte (C-PVC is solid polymer electrolyte part and solvent is liquid electrolyte part).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1745

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 8-12, 15 and 18-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alamgir et al., US 5,252,413 in view of Chang et al., US 5,389,463 and/or in view of Chia et al., US 6,617,078 B1.

Alamgir teaches a lithium battery using lithium ion conductive solid polymer electrolytes composed of solvates of lithium salts immobilized in a solid organic polymer matrix. In particular, lithium batteries using solid polymer electrolytes derived by immobilizing solvates formed between a lithium salt and an aprotic organic solvent(s) in polyvinyl chloride (PVC) are disclosed (abstract). The solid electrolyte comprises 50-90 wt% of the aprotic organic solvent, 5-30 wt% of PVC and 5-15wt% of the lithium salt (col. 4, lines 7-11). Figure 2 depicts results for a Li/LiMn₂O₄ solid polymer electrolyte cell and Figure 3 depicts results for a carbon/ LiMn₂O₄ solid polymer electrolyte cell. The lithium salt may be LiPF₆, LiClO₄, LiAsF₆ or LiPF₆. The solvent may be ethylene carbonate, propylene carbonate or γ-butyrolactone (col. 3, lines 35-65). The negative electrode may contain a carbon material, lithium or a lithium alloy such as lithiumaluminum or lithium-tin. The positive electrode may contain MnO₂, V₆O₁₃, V₂O₅, lithium manganese oxide, lithium polysulfide, polypyrrole, polythiophene or polyacetylene (col. 4, lines 12-42). Alamgir teaches a typical solid electrolyte comprises an aprotic solvent (propylene carbonate) containing a lithium salt (LiClO4) immobilized in PVC. The electrolyte has conductivities of 0.9×10^{-3} ohm⁻¹cm⁻¹ (0.9×10^{-3} S/cm) at 20° C (2:18-22).

Alamgir does not explicitly state the solid organic polymer matrix (separator) of the solid polymer electrolyte contains chlorinated PVC or a chlorinated PVC having 60-72 wt% chloring.

Art Unit: 1745

However, Chia teaches a lithium ion rechargeable battery having a negative electrode, a positive electrode and a separator/polymer electrolyte there between comprising a chlorinated polymer. The polymer is comprised of a chlorinated polyvinyl chloride (col. 2, lines 10-18). The chlorinated PVC may be used alone or blended with a terpolymer of vinylidene chloride. Chlorinated PVC is a well known commercially available material. Preferably the amount of chlorine is at least 57 percent bound chlorine in the polymer. Chlorinated PVC resins of different molecular weights and chlorine contents are commercially available (col. 3, lines 6-33). The electrolyte comprises a lithium salt dissolved in a mixture of organic solvents. The lithium salt may be LiPF₆, LiClO₄, LiAsF₆, LiPF₆, and combinations thereof. The solvent may be ethylene carbonate, propylene carbonate, dimethyl carbonate, and combinations thereof (col. 4, lines 51-col. 5, lines 4). See also Example 1. The anode is a lithiated carbon material (col. 3, lines 2-5). The anode may be a carbon-based material and the cathode may be a metal oxide (vanadium pentoxide) or lithium transition metal oxide (lithium manganese oxide) (col. 4, lines 8-20). The cathode comprises the chlorinated polymer.

Furthermore, Chang teaches a polyvinyl halide having a halogen content of at least about 55 wt% of the polymer. The polyvinyl halide may be polyvinyl chloride. The polyvinyl halide can be a post-halogenated polyvinyl halide such as chlorinated polyvinyl chloride (CPVC). The chlorine weight content of the post-halogenated polyvinyl halide should be at least about 60%, with greater than 65% being preferred (col. 4, lines 40-col. 5, lines 15).

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because one of skill would have been motivated to substitute the chlorinated PVC of Chia or Chang for the PVC of Alamgir in order to improve the

Art Unit: 1745

properties of the battery. The use of chlorinated PVC shows enhanced high temperature stability of the battery and mechanical integrity of the separator/polymer (Chia; col. 3, lines 6-33). Chang teaches chlorinated PVC has high tensile strength and ductility (col. 3, lines 9-25). Alamgir, Chia and Chang all teach PVC materials for the separator/polymer matrix of a battery. Chia teaches that chlorinated PVC is a well known commercially available material for a battery separator/polymer matrix with different molecular weights and chlorine contents available. Chang teaches post-chlorinated PVC is a known material for use as a battery separator. Thus, one of skill would have found it obvious to substitute chlorinated PVC for the PVC of Alamgir because Chia and Chang teach chlorinated PVC is a well known battery separator/polymer matrix material.

Regarding the chlorine content of the chlorinated PVC, Chang teaches the chlorine weight content of the post-halogenated polyvinyl halide should be at least about 60%, with greater than 65% being preferred. Chia teaches chlorinated PVC with an amount of chlorine of at least 57 percent and chlorinated PVC resins of different molecular weights and chlorine contents are commercially available.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/5/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Applicant argues Alamgir is silent regarding a solid polymer electrolyte containing chlorinated PVC having 60-72 wt% chlorine and neither Chang nor Chia cure the deficiencies in the teachings of Alamgir. Examiner disagrees. See obvious rejection and motivation statement

Page 6

Art Unit: 1745

provided above. Applicant has not addressed the motivation for combining provided by the Examiner. Thus, there is nothing further to rebut.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Dove whose telephone number is 571-272-1285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00-7:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 2, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER